Case 1:99,cv-off28-ganited cumbilities Filed Williams Country 6 Middle District of Pennsylvania

WM Branch Plaintiff Vs.

HARRISBURGIVII Action No. 1: CV-00-1728
MAR 1 2 200 E Judge Conner)

Russian, et. al., Defendants

MARY E. C'ANDREA CLERK

Reply to Defendants motion to deny my motion for injunctive Relief

if it Please's this most majestic Tribune Here come's <u>William Branch cf3756</u> ainmate at Sciway mart Repling to Defendants motion to deny may me injunctive Relief.

(inclose Please find a copy of a letter I sent to defense Requesting a meeting to discuss Discovery Per your order)

your Honor the defense continue to argue the Controlling D.o.C. Policy is 807 and that they have the Right to force me to cut my hair or face Disciplinary action. either Ms Mosley has not Read this Policy and is depending on the information supplied by D.o.C. Staff OR She has Read it and does not under stand it!

in any case D.O.C. Policy (acopy) contained in here Brief Number 807 a reading at VI. Procedure A. (2.d.) States: an inmate request for a hair style emeption based on Religion shall be in accordance with Dept-Policy DC-ADM 819, Religious activities a cop of Pg8 inclosed in my Documents and Declaration of Brief in Support of my motion to deny Summary Judge ment Page 21-24, citing Pg: 8 of Dc-ADM 819 G. 6. - f

about

Reply to Defendant motion to day injunctive Relief

By 8 Doc Policy G. 2. b was issued after I open this complaint in 2000, which I had Grieved and Should be Payed Legal fee's for causing the D.O.C. to change its Policy.

as this change happon after my initial complaint I did not have a chance to apply under the new Policy I also wish to Point out that for three yrs. the D.o.C. had not ask me to cut my hair, the fact that Staff Transferred me to

m-2 under ma fried man show's a conspiracy!

I should have been allowed to apply for a hair Exemption, This injunctive Relief comels on the heel of staff violating its D.O.C. Policy, Postor Gagas never Sent my Request to the Religious accommodation Review Committee thereby dening me Due Process also the Timing of this action as Summary Judgement was asked and Supplemental Pleading Denied, they (Staff) demonstrates it Klandestine Conspiracy.

for the Record I was ordered to cut my Religious Based hair or Recieve a mis conduct, when the Policy clearly States that durning the time a inmate Seeks and Exemption no action can

be taken against him.

MR fried man State he was not going to wait while This issue Dragged" it's way through the court system (he alludes to this at number it in Def. Documents in opposition To my injunction). his words were we'd denoting others but in his statement he Lays it allow Go wat. at this same time MR fried man had read this Policy I was showing it to him. I also spoke with Deputy andrew who told mr Del Rosso to Look into this matter they were all in the Loop.

a main Point of this motion for injunctive Relief is the Request to cut my hair did not accure unitill I complained about the shower, for the Record I asked C/O Gowat if I could shower before B:30 in order to Go to church he said: Why can't you shower the right before!" and he denied me to shower. No one was working on the shower for a three week period, as he would have the court Believe, nor did he allow me to shower Before B:30 with supplementary ordered him to change the shower Time.

What I am asking this noble Court for is its Protection as one pleading before it, not to Micro-mange the D.O.C. as coursel allege's.

The Staff has not followed it's own folicies, it has violated my First amendment Rights of Freedom To exercise my Religious Beliefs: To clean my self before I Go Belore my GoD To keep a flazor off my hair as Long as

Iam under a vow of a nagarite

Equal Protection: other Religions are allowed to show before there are inmotes that are indians their Services Service, and not being who converted and the prison Gave Processed for a hair Exemption to sincere them six months to see if they were Processed for a hair Exemption to sincere then they Gave them exemption hill as outlined in see Dac. Policy for their tair. (Syrs I have practice of them are proportion of their tair.)

Benjamin V. Coughlin 905 F2d 571 at 577 (2 cert 1990)

Cert Denied. Stating: "There Exists an alternative means of accommodating Plaintiffs Religious Rights without under minding the Legitimate Penological interests!

Case 1:00-cv-01728-CCC Reply To defendants motion to deny my motion for injunctive Relief FOR the Reasons Stated below Therefore I askthis august court to Grant me ENJUNETIVE Injunctive Relief, Remove Co/Gowat and MR friedman so they can understand Justice will not be lied to, and others will understand Retaliation and conspiracy to violate the constitutional Rights of others and Racial discrimanation will not Be Tolerated as I am a Black man, along with the fact that my Belief's Run counter to what they Believe [R]eligious PREJudice is at the Root of these actions. most Honorable Judge I ask that the D-O.C. Change

The exemption Policy to conform to 42 8 2000 CC SOLA

and that they Be folbiden to write misconducts on me

OR force me to cut my hair any more P.S. MR friedman Come on the Block and Called 40 Gowatmy Co-Defendant Respectfully

PROOF of Service

Ms mosley S.D.A.G. Strawberry Sq 15F1 Harrisbrug Pa 17120

Unsworn Declaration I affirm under Penalty of the Law that the above is True

Date 3-4-64_

William Branch CF3756 Po Box 256 Waymart Pa. 19472

3-1-04 Case 1:00-cv-007781666 CROCUMENT 158

Filed O3W2/2004 BROWNELD CF3756 Waymail Fa. 18472

RE: Branch 18. Russian civil action No: 1-00-1728

ATTA: MIS MOSTER SDAGFL STROWNERRY SQ 18FL HARRIS BURG PA 17120

madame per Rules of civil force Procedure 26, 56(e) ... answers to interrogatories etc, etc...

I would appreicate you suppling me with answers To my interrogatories that I have supplied the D.O.C with if you do not want to meet with me. I will forward a copy to you as I mam suppose To consult with you about discovery.

Please Respond as soon as possible as the court has set a dead Line.

I also want to inform you that your Defendant have not Responded to my Grievance's on Go Gowat and MR friedman and my Grievance's to change the DOC Policy on hair cut exemptions, there by dening me access to court and my Request to attend haw hibrary are not being processed by the unit mangement team, Mr fried man a defendant is head of and he made me cut my Religious hair a issue now being adjudicated this is the see Second time please speak to you clients! I also wish to speak to you about Supplemental Pleading, this will save us a lot of time if you will agree to allow supplemental pleading now, because I will file a motion for injunction to stay proceedings pending appeal which I believe will be grunted.

Respectfully

Willow Branch CF-3156

Page 6 of 6

Name & No. (13156) P.O. Box 256 Waymart, PA 18472-0256

INMATE MAIL
PA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

